

Quandle rings

Valeriy Bardakov

Joint work with Inder Bir S. Passi and Mahender Singh

Sobolev Institute of Mathematics,
Tomsk State University

Tomsk
December 10-15, 2019

A **quandle** is a set with a binary operation that satisfies three axioms motivated by the three **Reidemeister moves** of diagrams of knots in the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^3 . Ignoring the first Reidemeister move gives rise to a weaker structure called a **rack**.

These algebraic objects were introduced independently by **S. V. Matveev** and **D. Joyce** in 1982. They associated a quandle to each tame knot in \mathbb{R}^3 and showed that it is a complete invariant up to orientation.

Over the years, racks, quandles and their analogues have been investigated as **purely algebraic objects**.

A **rack** is a non-empty set X with a binary operation $(x, y) \mapsto x * y$ satisfying the following axioms:

- (R1) For any $x, y \in X$ there exists a unique $z \in X$ such that $x = z * y$;
- (R2) $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * (y * z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

A rack is called a **quandle** if the following additional axiom is satisfied:

- (Q1) $x * x = x$ for all $x \in X$.

The axioms (R1), (R2) and (Q1) are collectively called **quandle axioms**.

Example

- If G is a group, then the set G equipped with the binary operation $a * b = b^{-1}ab$ gives a quandle structure on G , called the **conjugation quandle**, and denoted by $\text{Conj}(G)$.
- If A is an additive abelian group, then the set A equipped with the binary operation $a * b = 2b - a$ gives a quandle structure on A , denoted by $T(A)$ and called the **Takasaki quandle** of A . For $A = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, it is called the **dihedral quandle**, and is denoted by R_n .
- If G is a group and we take the binary operation $a * b = ba^{-1}b$, then we get the **core quandle**, denoted as $\text{Core}(G)$. In particular, if G is additive abelian, then $\text{Core}(G)$ is the Takasaki quandle.
- Let A be an additive abelian group and $t \in \text{Aut}(A)$. Then the set A equipped with the binary operation $a * b = ta + (\text{id}_A - t)b$ is a quandle called the **Alexander quandle** of A with respect to t .

A quandle or rack X is called **trivial** if $x * y = x$ for all $x, y \in X$. Obviously, a trivial rack is a trivial quandle. Unlike groups, a trivial quandle can contain arbitrary number of elements. We denote the n -element trivial quandle by T_n .

We will see that, unlike groups, the quandle ring structure of trivial quandles is quite interesting.

Notice that, the rack axioms are equivalent to saying that for each $x \in X$, the map $S_x : X \rightarrow X$ given by

$$S_x(y) = y * x$$

is an automorphism of X . Further, in case of quandles, the axiom $x * x = x$ is equivalent to saying that S_x fixes x for each $x \in X$. Such an automorphism is called an **inner automorphism** of X , and the group generated by all such automorphisms is denoted by $\text{Inn}(X)$.

Let X be a quandle and R an associative ring (not necessarily with unity). Let $R[X]$ be the set of all formal finite R -linear combinations of elements of X , that is,

$$R[X] := \left\{ \sum_i \alpha_i x_i \mid \alpha_i \in R, x_i \in X \right\}.$$

Then $R[X]$ is an additive abelian group in the usual way. Define a multiplication in $R[X]$ by setting

$$\left(\sum_i \alpha_i x_i \right) \cdot \left(\sum_j \beta_j x_j \right) := \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \beta_j (x_i \cdot x_j).$$

Clearly, the multiplication is distributive with respect to addition from both left and right, and $R[X]$ forms a ring, which we call the **quandle ring** of X with coefficients in the ring R .

Since X is non-associative, unless it is a trivial quandle, it follows that $R[X]$ is a non-associative ring, in general. Analogously, if X is a rack, then we obtain the **rack ring** $R[X]$ of X with coefficients in the ring R .

Analogous to group rings, we define the **augmentation map**

$$\varepsilon : R[X] \rightarrow R$$

by setting

$$\varepsilon\left(\sum_i \alpha_i x_i\right) = \sum_i \alpha_i.$$

Clearly, ε is a surjective ring homomorphism, and $\Delta_R(X) := \ker(\varepsilon)$ is a two-sided ideal of $R[X]$, called the **augmentation ideal** of $R[X]$. Thus, we have

$$R[X]/\Delta_R(X) \cong R$$

as rings. In the case $R = \mathbb{Z}$, we denote the augmentation ideal simply by $\Delta(X)$.

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

Let X be a rack and R an associative ring. Then $\{x - y \mid x, y \in X\}$ is a generating set for $\Delta_R(X)$ as an R -module. Further, if $x_0 \in X$ is a fixed element, then the set $\{x - x_0 \mid x \in X \setminus \{x_0\}\}$ is a basis for $\Delta_R(X)$ as an R -module.

Given a subrack Y of a rack X , it is natural to look for conditions under which $\Delta_R(Y)$ is a two-sided ideal of $R[X]$. For trivial racks, we have the following result.

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

Let X be a trivial rack, Y a subrack of X and R an associative ring. Then $\Delta_R(Y)$ is a two-sided ideal of $R[X]$.

The next result characterises trivial quandles in terms of their augmentation ideals.

Theorem [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

Let X be a quandle and R an associative ring. Then the quandle X is trivial if and only if $\Delta_R^2(X) = \{0\}$.

Let X be a quandle and R an associative ring. For each $x_0 \in X$ and each two sided ideal I of $R[X]$, we define

$$X_{I,x_0} = \{x \in X \mid x - x_0 \in I\}.$$

Notice that, if $I = \Delta_R(X)$, then $X_{I,x_0} = X$, and if $I = \{0\}$, then $X_{I,x_0} = \{x_0\}$. In general, we have the following.

Theorem [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

Let X be a finite quandle and R an associative ring. Then for each $x_0 \in X$ and a two sided ideal I of $R[X]$, the set X_{I,x_0} is a subquandle of X . Further, there is a subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ of X such that X is the disjoint union

$$X = X_{I,x_1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup X_{I,x_m}.$$

Next, we proceed in the reverse direction of associating an ideal of $R[X]$ to a subquandle of X . Let $f : X \rightarrow Z$ be a quandle homomorphism. Consider the equivalence relation \sim on X given by $x_1 \sim x_2$ if $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$. Let X/\sim be the set of equivalence classes, where equivalence class of an element x is denoted by

$$X_x := \{x' \in X \mid f(x') = f(x)\}.$$

It is not difficult to prove that X_x is a subquandle of X for each $x \in X$.

The following is a sort of first isomorphism theorem for quandles.

Theorem [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

The binary operation given by $X_{x_1} \circ X_{x_2} = X_{x_1 \cdot x_2}$ gives a quandle structure on X/\sim . Further, if $f : X \rightarrow Z$ is a surjective quandle homomorphism, then $X/\sim \cong Z$ as quandles.

We say that a subquandle Y of a quandle X is **normal** if $Y = X_{x_0}$ for some $x_0 \in X$ and some quandle homomorphism $f : X \rightarrow Z$. In this case, we say that Y is **normal based at** x_0 .

A **pointed quandle**, denoted (X, x_0) , is a quandle X together with a fixed base point x_0 . Let $f : (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Z, z_0)$ be a homomorphism of pointed quandles, and $Y = X_{x_0}$ a normal subquandle based at x_0 . In this situation, we consider Y as the base point of X/\sim , and denote X/\sim by X/Y . Then the natural map $x \mapsto X_x$ is a surjective homomorphism of pointed quandles

$$(X, x_0) \rightarrow (X/Y, X_{x_0}).$$

This further extends to a surjective ring homomorphism, say,

$$\pi : R[X] \rightarrow R[X/Y]$$

with $\ker(\pi)$ being a two sided ideal of $R[X]$.

Let (X, x_0) be a pointed quandle, \mathcal{I} the set of two sided ideals of $R[X]$ and \mathcal{S} the set of normal subquandles of X based x_0 . Then there exist maps $\Phi : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ given by

$$\Phi(I) = X_{I, x_0}$$

and $\Psi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$ given by

$$\Psi(Y) = \ker(\pi).$$

With this set up, we have the following.

Theorem [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

Let (X, x_0) be a pointed quandle and R an associative ring. Then $\Phi\Psi = \text{id}_{\mathcal{S}}$ and $\Psi\Phi \neq \text{id}_{\mathcal{I}}$.

Assume that R is an associative ring with unity 1. Let X be a rack. Since $R[X]$ is a ring without unity, it is desirable to embed $R[X]$ into a ring with unity. The ring

$$R^\circ[X] = R[X] \oplus Re,$$

where e is a symbol satisfying $e(\sum_i \alpha_i x_i) = \sum_i \alpha_i x_i = (\sum_i \alpha_i x_i)e$, is called the **extended rack ring** of X .

We extend the augmentation map $\varepsilon : R^\circ[X] \rightarrow R$ to obtain the **extended augmentation ideal**

$$\Delta_{R^\circ}(X) := \ker(\varepsilon : R^\circ[X] \rightarrow R).$$

In the case $R = \mathbb{Z}$, we simply denote it by $\Delta_\circ(X)$. It is easy to see that the set $\{x - e \mid x \in X\}$ is a basis for $\Delta_{R^\circ}(X)$ as an R -module.

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

- (1) If X is a rack and $x_0 \in X$ a fixed element, then
$$\Delta_{R^\circ}(X) = \Delta_R(X) + R(e - x_0).$$
- (2) If X is a quandle, then
$$\Delta_{R^\circ}^2(X) = \Delta_{R^\circ}(X).$$

Let X be a rack and R an associative ring with unity 1. Though the ring $R^\circ[X]$ has unity, it is non-associative, in general.

Problem

Determine maximal multiplicative subgroups of $R^\circ[X]$.

Let $\mathcal{U}(R^\circ[X])$ denote a **maximal multiplicative subgroup** of the ring $R^\circ[X]$. Notice that, $\varepsilon : R^\circ[X] \rightarrow R$ maps $\mathcal{U}(R^\circ[X])$ onto R^* , the group of units of R . Let

$$\mathcal{U}_1(R^\circ[X]) := \{r \in \mathcal{U}(R^\circ[X]) \mid \varepsilon(r) = 1\},$$

be the **subgroup of normalized units**. Then $\mathcal{U}(R^\circ[X]) = R^* \mathcal{U}_1(R^\circ[X])$, and one only need to compute the group of normalized units.

Define

$$\mathcal{V}(R^\circ[X]) := \{e + a \in \mathcal{U}(R^\circ[X]) \mid a \in R[X]\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{V}(R^\circ[X])$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(R^\circ[X])$ and

$$\mathcal{U}(R^\circ[X]) = R^* \mathcal{V}(R^\circ[X]).$$

To understand $\mathcal{V}(R^\circ[X])$ further, we define

$$\mathcal{V}_1(R^\circ[X]) := \mathcal{U}_1(R^\circ[X]) \cap \mathcal{V}(R^\circ[X]).$$

Theorem [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

Let X be a rack and R an associative ring with unity. Then

$\mathcal{V}_1(R^\circ[X]) = \{e + a \in \mathcal{U}(R^\circ[X]) \mid a \in \Delta_R(X)\}$ and is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{U}(R^\circ[X])$.

Let X be a rack and $x_0 \in X$ a fixed element. Define the set

$$\mathcal{V}_2(R^\circ[X]) = \{e + (\lambda - 1)x_0 \mid \lambda \in R^*\}.$$

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

Let X be a rack, $x_0 \in X$ a fixed element and R an associative ring with unity. Then $\mathcal{V}_2(R^\circ[X])$ is a subgroup of $\mathcal{V}(R^\circ[X])$ and is isomorphic to R^* .

Theorem [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

Let X be a rack, $x_0 \in X$ a fixed element and R an associative ring with unity. Then there exists a split exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_1(R^\circ[X]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}(R^\circ[X]) \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_2(R^\circ[X]) \longrightarrow 1.$$

As a consequence, we have the following.

Corollary [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

Let X be a rack, $x_0 \in X$ and R an associative ring with unity. Then an arbitrary element $u = e + a_0 + (\lambda - 1)x_0 \in \mathcal{V}(R^\circ[X])$, $\lambda \in R^*$, is the product $u = u_1 u_2$, where $u_1 = e + a_0(e + (\lambda^{-1} - 1)x_0) \in \mathcal{V}_1(R^\circ[X])$ and $u_2 = e + (\lambda - 1)x_0 \in \mathcal{V}_2(R^\circ[X])$.

Since $\mathcal{V}_2(R^\circ[X]) \cong R^*$, it follows from Theorem that if we know the structure of $\mathcal{V}_1(R^\circ[X])$, then we can determine the structure of $\mathcal{V}(R^\circ[X])$.

If \mathbb{T} is a trivial rack and R an associative ring with unity, then the ring $R^\circ[\mathbb{T}]$ is associative with unity, and hence $\mathcal{U}(R^\circ[\mathbb{T}])$ is precisely the group of units of $R^\circ[\mathbb{T}]$. As we observed, the main problem in determining $\mathcal{U}(R^\circ[\mathbb{T}])$ is the description of $\mathcal{V}_1(R^\circ[\mathbb{T}])$.

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

Let \mathbb{T} be a trivial rack and R an associative ring with unity. Then

$$\mathcal{V}_1(R^\circ[\mathbb{T}]) = \{e + a \mid a \in \Delta_R(\mathbb{T})\}$$

is an abelian subgroup of $\mathcal{U}_1(R^\circ[\mathbb{T}])$. Further, $\mathcal{V}_1(R^\circ[\mathbb{T}]) \cong \Delta_R(\mathbb{T})$.

More generally, we prove the following.

Theorem [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

Let \mathbb{T} be a trivial rack, $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ and R an associative ring with unity. Then $\mathcal{U}_1(R^\circ[\mathbb{T}]) = \{e + a + \alpha(x_0 - e) \mid a \in \Delta_R(\mathbb{T}) \text{ and } \alpha - 1 \in R^*\}$.

As a consequence, we have the following for integral coefficients.

Corollary

Let T be a trivial rack, $x_0 \in X$ and R an associative ring with unity. Then the following statements hold:

- 1 $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\circ[T]) = \pm\{e + a + \alpha(x_0 - e) \mid a \in \Delta_R(T) \text{ and } \alpha = 0, 2\}$.
- 2 If $T_1 = \{x_0\}$, then $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{Z}^\circ[T_1]) = \{\pm e, 2x - e, -2x + e\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Next we consider nilpotency of $\mathcal{V}(R^\circ[\mathbb{T}])$. Obviously, $\mathcal{V}(R^\circ[\mathbb{T}_1])$ is nilpotent. In the general case, we prove the following.

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

Let R be an associative ring with unity such that $|R^*| > 1$. If \mathbb{T} is a trivial rack with more than one element, then $\mathcal{V}(R^\circ[\mathbb{T}])$ is not nilpotent.

Let X be a rack and R an associative ring. Consider the direct sum

$$\mathcal{X}_R(X) := \sum_{i \geq 0} \Delta_R^i(X) / \Delta_R^{i+1}(X)$$

of R -modules $\Delta_R^i(X) / \Delta_R^{i+1}(X)$. We regard $\mathcal{X}_R(X)$ as a graded R -module with the convention that the elements of $\Delta_R^i(X) / \Delta_R^{i+1}(X)$ are homogeneous of degree i .

Defining multiplication in $\mathcal{X}_R(X)$, we see that $\mathcal{X}_R(X)$ becomes a graded ring, and we call it the **associated graded ring of $R[X]$** .

For trivial quandles we have a full description of its associated graded ring.

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

If \mathbb{T} is a trivial quandle and $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, then $\mathcal{X}_R(\mathbb{T}) = Rx_0 \oplus \Delta_R(\mathbb{T})$.

For studying $\mathcal{X}_R(X)$ we need to understand the quotients

$$\Delta_R^i(X)/\Delta_R^{i+1}(X).$$

In the case of groups, we have the following result: Let G be a finite group and $Q_n(G) = \Delta_{\mathbb{Z}}^n(G)/\Delta_{\mathbb{Z}}^{n+1}(G)$, then there exist integers n_0 and π such that

$$Q_n(G) \cong Q_{n+\pi}(G) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

We compute powers of the integral augmentation ideals of the dihedral quandles R_n for some small values of n .

Observe that $R_2 = T_2$, the trivial quandle with 2 elements.

Consider the integral quandle ring of the dihedral quandle

$R_3 = \{a_0, a_1, a_2\}$. Set $e_1 := a_1 - a_0$ and $e_2 := a_2 - a_0$. Then $\Delta(R_3) = \langle e_1, e_2 \rangle$, $\Delta^2(R_3) = \langle e_1 + e_2, 3e_2 \rangle$ and $\Delta(R_3)/\Delta^2(R_3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3$.

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

The following holds for each natural number k :

$$\Delta^{2k-1}(R_3) = \langle 3^{k-1}e_1, 3^{k-1}e_2 \rangle, \quad \Delta^{2k}(R_3) = \langle 3^{k-1}(e_1 + e_2), 3^k e_2 \rangle,$$

$$\Delta^k(R_3)/\Delta^{k+1}(R_3) \cong \mathbb{Z}_3.$$

From the preceding proposition, we obtain the infinite filtration

$$\Delta(\mathbb{R}_3) \supseteq \Delta^2(\mathbb{R}_3) \supseteq \Delta^3(\mathbb{R}_3) \supseteq \dots$$

such that

$$\bigcap_{n \geq 0} \Delta^n(\mathbb{R}_3) = \{0\}.$$

Hence, $\Delta^n(\mathbb{R}_3)$ is not nilpotent, but is **residually nilpotent**.

Next, we calculate the powers of augmentation ideal of R_4 . First, notice that

$$\mathbb{Z}[R_4] = \mathbb{Z}a_0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}a_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}a_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}a_3$$

and

$$\Delta(R_4) = \mathbb{Z}(a_1 - a_0) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(a_2 - a_0) \oplus \mathbb{Z}(a_3 - a_0).$$

Let us set $e_1 := a_1 - a_0$, $e_2 := a_2 - a_0$, $e_3 := a_3 - a_0$.

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

- ① $\Delta^2(R_4)$ is generated as an abelian group by the set $\{e_1 - e_2 - e_3, 2e_2\}$ and $\Delta(R_4)/\Delta^2(R_4) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.
- ② If $k > 2$, then $\Delta^k(R_4)$ is generated as an abelian group by the set $\{2^{k-1}(e_1 - e_2 - e_3), 2^k e_2\}$ and $\Delta^{k-1}(R_4)/\Delta^k(R_4) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Problem

We suppose that for the dihedral quandle \mathbf{R}_n and a ring R hold:

- 1 If $n > 1$ is an odd integer, then $\Delta^k(\mathbf{R}_n)/\Delta^{k+1}(\mathbf{R}_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ for all $k \geq 1$.
- 2 If $n > 2$ is an even integer, then $|\Delta^k(\mathbf{R}_n)/\Delta^{k+1}(\mathbf{R}_n)| = n$ for all $k \geq 2$.

The following result gives positive answer on our first question.

Theorem [M. Elhamdadi - N. Fernando - B. Tsvetkovskiy, 2019]

Let n be odd. Then $\Delta^k(R_n)/\Delta^{k+1}(R_n) \cong \mathbb{Z}_n$ for all $k \geq 1$.

The following result gives partial answer on the second question.

Theorem [M. Elhamdadi - N. Fernando - B. Tsvetkovskiy, 2019]

Let $n = 2k$ for some positive integer k . Then $\Delta(R_n)/\Delta^2(R_n) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_k$.

We know that any trivial quandle is associative, but an arbitrary quandle, and hence its quandle ring need not be associative. In particular, the dihedral quandle \mathbb{R}_3 , and hence all dihedral quandles \mathbb{R}_n , $n \geq 3$ are not associative.

Recall that, a ring R is called **power-associative** if every element of R generates an associative subring of R . If \mathbb{T} is a trivial quandle and R an associative ring, then $R[\mathbb{T}]$ is associative, and hence power-associative.

In general, it is an interesting question to determine the conditions under which the ring $R[X]$ is power-associative.

We investigate power-associativity of quandle rings of dihedral quandles R_n . The cases $n = 1, 2$ are obvious. For $n = 3$, we prove the following result.

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

Let R be a commutative ring with unity of characteristic not equal to 2, 3 or 5. Then the quandle ring $R[R_3]$ is not power-associative.

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

Let R be a commutative ring with unity of characteristic not equal to 2. Then $R[\mathcal{R}_n]$ is not power-associative for $n > 3$.

Theorem [M. Elhamdadi - N. Fernando - B. Tsvetkovskiy, 2019]

Let R be an associative ring with unity of characteristic not equal to 2 and 3. Then the quandle ring $R[X]$ is not power-associative if X is a non-trivial quandle.

Problem

- ① *Let X and Y be two racks such that $R[X] \cong R[Y]$. Does it follow that $X \cong Y$?*
- ② *Let X and Y be two racks with $\mathcal{X}_R(X) \cong \mathcal{X}_R(Y)$. Does it follow that $X \cong Y$?*

Proposition [M. Elhamdadi - N. Fernando - B. Tsvetkovskiy, 2019]

Let X a quandle of order 3. Then the three quandle rings arising from X are not pairwise isomorphic.

Proposition [M. Elhamdadi - N. Fernando - B. Tsvetkovskiy, 2019]

Let R a field of characteristic 3. Then there are two non-isomorphic quandles X and Y of cardinality 4 such that their quandle rings $R[X]$ and $R[Y]$ are isomorphic.

Proposition [M. Elhamdadi - N. Fernando - B. Tsvetkovskiy, 2019]

Let R a field of characteristic 0. Then there are two non-isomorphic quandles X and Y of cardinality 7 such that their quandle rings $R[X]$ and $R[Y]$ are isomorphic.

The following result gives negative answer on our second question.

Proposition [M. Elhamdadi - N. Fernando - B. Tsvetkovskiy, 2019]

There are two non-isomorphic quandles X and Y of cardinality 4 such that $\mathcal{X}_R(X) \cong \mathcal{X}_R(Y)$.

It is easy to see that the zero $0 \in R$ lies in $R[X]$ and is a trivial one-element quandle, which we will call **zero quandle**. On the other side if some quandle $Q \subseteq R[X]$ contains 0 , then $Q = \{0\}$ is the zero quandle. We will denote $mq(R[X])$ the **set of non-zero maximal quandles** in $R[X]$. If $mq(R[X]) = \{X\}$ we will say that $R[X]$ is quandle ring with **unique maximal quandle**. We formulate

Problem

For a ring R and a quandle X find the set $mq(R[X])$.

The first step to solution of this problem is a description of **idempotents** in $R[X]$, i.e. elements z in $R[X]$ such that $z^2 = z$. Let us denote $I(R[X])$ the set of all non-zero idempotents in $R[X]$.

Problem

For a ring R and a quandle X find the set of all non-zero idempotents $I(R[X])$.

The problem of description the set $mq(R[X])$ connects with description of the **automorphism group** $\text{Aut}(R[X])$, that is the group of automorphisms of $R[X]$, which fix R .

Problem

Let X be a (finite) quandle. Find $\text{Aut}(R[X])$. What is connection between this group and $\text{Aut}(X)$?

R. Zh. Alev for group rings formulated the following problem (see Kurovka Notebook, Problem 13.1):

Problem

Let $U(R)$ denote the group of units of a ring R . Let G be a finite group, $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ the integral group ring of G , and $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ the group ring of G over the residues modulo a prime number p .

Describe the homomorphism from $U(\mathbb{Z}[G])$ into $U(\mathbb{Z}_p[G])$ induced by reducing the coefficients modulo p . More precisely, find the kernel and the image of this homomorphism and an explicit transversal over the kernel.

For the maximal quandles we can formulate the similar problem

Problem

Let Q be a finite quandle. Describe the map from $mq(\mathbb{Z}[Q])$ into $mq(\mathbb{Z}_p[Q])$ induced by reducing the coefficients modulo p .

If z is an idempotent of $R[X]$, then $\varepsilon(z) = \varepsilon(z)^2$. Hence, $\varepsilon(z) = 0$ or $\varepsilon(z) = 1$. In the first case $z \in \Delta_R(X)$, in the second case z is presented in the form $z = x + \delta$ for some $x \in X$ and $\delta \in \Delta_R(X)$.

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

If a quandle $X = X_1 \sqcup X_2$ is a disjoint union of two subquandles, then

$$I(R[X]) \supseteq (I(R[X_1]) \cup I(R[X_2])).$$

Considering the 3-element quandle $Cz(4)$, we see that the inclusion in this proposition is strict.

Let $T_n = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be the n -element trivial quandle. It is not difficult to prove

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

The set of non-zero idempotents in $\mathbb{Z}[T_n]$ has the form

$$I(\mathbb{Z}[T_n]) = x_1 + \Delta(T_n).$$

Consider the 3-element dihedral quandle $R_3 = \{a_0, a_1, a_2\}$.

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

$$I(\mathbb{Z}[R_3]) = \{a_0, a_1, a_2\}.$$

Take the dihedral quandle $\mathbb{R}_4 = \{a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ with four elements. \mathbb{R}_4 is not connected since the elements a_0 and a_1 lying in different orbits. In fact \mathbb{R}_4 is the disjoint union of two trivial subquandles:

$\mathbb{R}_4 = \{a_0, a_2\} \sqcup \{a_1, a_3\}$. Hence,

$$I(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{R}_4]) \supseteq (I(\mathbb{Z}[\{a_0, a_2\}]) \cup I(\mathbb{Z}[\{a_1, a_3\}])).$$

In fact we have equality here, i.e. the following proposition holds

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

$$I(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{R}_4]) = \{a_0 + \alpha(a_2 - a_0), a_1 + \beta(a_3 - a_1) \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

At first find maximal quandles in the quandle ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{T}_n]$.

Theorem [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

The maximal non-zero quandle in $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{T}_n]$ is unique trivial quandle which consists of elements

$$x_1 + \Delta(\mathbb{T}_n).$$

As we seen the quandle ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{R}_3]$ has unique maximal quandle which is \mathbb{R}_3 , i.e. $mq(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{R}_3]) = \{\mathbb{R}_3\}$. The quandle \mathbb{R}_3 is connected. For connected quandles we can formulate

Problem

Let X be a (finite) connected quandle. Is it true that $mq(\mathbb{Z}[X]) = \{X\}$?

For non-connected quandles it is not true.

Theorem [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

The maximal non-zero quandle in $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{R}_4]$ is unique and consists of elements

$$M = \{a_0 + \alpha(a_2 - a_0), a_1 + \beta(a_3 - a_1) \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

We proved that $mq(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{R}_3]) = \{\mathbb{R}_3\}$. Consider homomorphism $\varphi_2 : \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{R}_3] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2[\mathbb{R}_3]$ and find $mq(\mathbb{Z}_2[\mathbb{R}_3])$. The quandle ring $\mathbb{Z}_2[\mathbb{R}_3]$ contains 8 elements and it is easy to see that all its elements are idempotents.

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

The set $mq(\mathbb{Z}_2[\mathbb{R}_3])$ contains three quandles: 1-element quandle $\{a_0 + a_1 + a_2\}$ and two isomorphic 3-elements quandles: \mathbb{R}_3 and $\{a_0 + a_1, a_0 + a_2, a_1 + a_2\}$.

Corollary

The homomorphism $\varphi_2 : \mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{R}_3] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2[\mathbb{R}_3]$ induces a map $mq(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{R}_3]) \rightarrow mq(\mathbb{Z}_2[\mathbb{R}_3])$ which is not surjective.

For a quandle ring $R[X]$ denote $\text{Aut}(R[X])$ the **group of automorphisms** of $R[X]$ which fix elements of R . It is obvious that $\text{Aut}(X) \leq \text{Aut}(R[X])$. On the other side, if $|X| = n$ is a finite quandle, then $\text{Aut}(R[X]) \leq \text{GL}_n(R)$. If $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(R[X])$, then it is defined by the actions on the elements of X . Suppose that $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Then

$$\varphi(x_i) = \sum_j \alpha_{ij} x_j, \quad \alpha_{ij} \in R,$$

are idempotents for all elements $x_i \in X$ and the quandle $\varphi(X)$ isomorphic to X .

Consider the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{T}_n])$, where $\mathbb{T}_n = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ is the n -element trivial quandle. We know that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{T}_n)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group Σ_n .

It is easy to see that for $n = 1$ the group $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{T}_1])$ is trivial. Then we will assume that $n > 1$. If $\varphi \in \text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{T}_n])$, then $\varphi(\mathbb{T}_n)$ is a n -element trivial quandle and φ is an automorphism of \mathbb{Z} -module $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{T}_n]$.

In the case $n = 2$ we have

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}[\mathbb{T}_2]) \cong \mathbb{Z} \rtimes \Sigma_2.$$

Problem

Find $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}[T_n])$ for $n > 2$.

For the quandle R_3 we know that the ring $\mathbb{Z}[R_3]$ contains unique maximal quandle that is isomorphic to R_3 . Hence

Proposition [B.-Passi-Singh, 2019]

$$\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}[R_3]) \cong \text{Aut}(R_3).$$

Problem

Let X be a (finite) connected quandle. Is it true that $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}[X]) = \text{Aut}(X)$?

Thank you!